Advances in hematologic stem cell transplant: An update for oral health care providers
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Oral supportive care is critical in the management of patients receiving hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT). Advances in HCT, such as the use of stem cells isolated from peripheral blood instead of bone marrow, have resulted in more rapid engraftment and thus a shorter duration of pancytopenia. Reduced-intensity conditioning regimens, associated with less toxicity, make HCT available to older patients and patients with comorbidities. These new developments have led to increased transplant rates and an altered spectrum of therapy-related complications, such as mucositis, and to shifts in the prevalence and pattern of occurrence of infections and graft-versus-host disease. The purpose of this paper is to review the main principles of HCT and to update dental providers on new technologies being applied to transplantation that may influence oral complications and oral care. (Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2009;107:301-312)

Originally a treatment of last resort, hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) is now widely used as a potentially curative procedure for hematologic malignancies as well as a number of other diseases. HCT can serve as a rescue procedure to reconstitute the hematopoietic system when damaged by high-dose chemo/radiotherapy for treatment of malignancy, because hematopoietic stem cells possess the capacity for self-renewal as well as differentiation into all blood cell lineages (Fig. 1). In addition, allogeneic stem cells or their progeny can be used to deliver anticancer immunotherapy. The number of HCTs now performed is estimated to be 50,000-60,000 annually worldwide (Fig. 2). About 20,000 of these are allogeneic HCTs (in which blood-forming stem cells are derived from a related or unrelated donor), nearly one-half, are to treat acute leukemia. Approximately 35,000 are autologous HCTs (in which a patient is his or her own donor) and are most frequently used for multiple myeloma and non-Hodgkin lymphoma. HCT is also used in the treatment of selected solid tumors and can serve as replacement therapy in patients with congenital immunodeficiency and inborn errors of metabolism or to “reset” the immunologic system in patients with autoimmune disorders.

Oral care is critical in the management of patients receiving HCT. In this article, we provide background information about HCT for the treatment of malignancies, focusing on recent progress, aimed to inform oral care providers. In addition, we explore the potential effect of new developments on oral health and oral care regimens and will identify areas in which more research in this area of oral supportive care is needed.
HISTORY OF HCT

After the Second World War, fear of subsequent nuclear warfare stimulated increased interest in the effects of ionizing radiation. Bone marrow was recognized as an organ that is sensitive to the effects of radiation, and much effort was directed in developing strategies to treat exposure. Through a series of discoveries in the middle of the 20th century, bone marrow cells were used for the recovery of the human hematopoietic system. In 1949, it was observed that mice could survive total body irradiation (TBI) at sublethal doses when autologous or syngeneic (derived from a genetically identical twin sibling) marrow cells were transplanted, and that survival was related to hematologic cell recovery. At the same time, it was discovered that radiation could be used to treat leukemia, though it caused irreversible damage to bone marrow cells. In the late 1950s, the first transplants were undertaken. Thomas et al. reported that a patient with end-stage leukemia treated with TBI followed by infusion of her identical twin’s marrow had a 3-month remission. As predicted from animal studies, patients who were transplanted with marrow from allogeneic donors developed “secondary disease,” now known as graft-versus-host disease (GVHD). Conversely, patients who underwent syngeneic transplantation did not develop significant GVHD, but they died more often from progressive leukemia. From animal studies, it became clear that allogeneic HCT may function as immunotherapy by its graft-versus-leukemia (GVL) effect.

In the early 1960s, the first human leukocyte antigens (HLA) were discovered and the relevance of these antigens in the development of GVHD was established. These observations led to the successful clinical application of allogeneic HCT. Since then, great progress has been made in improving the success of HCT. Current indications for HCT are shown in Table I.

TYPES OF HCT

HCT can be categorized by the source of stem cells used, by the donor of the stem cells, and by the conditioning regimen used to prepare the recipient for HCT.

Sources of hematopoietic stem cells

In the past, HCT has been called bone marrow transplantation (BMT), because hematopoietic stem cells were exclusively taken from the bone marrow. This procedure requires aspiration from the posterior iliac crest while the donor is under anesthesia. Peripheral blood is now the preferred source for harvesting stem cells in adults. Normally very few stem cells are present in the blood circulation, but there is a dramatic increase in early hematopoietic cells after administration of granulocyte colony-stimulating growth factor. Increased numbers of these cells, identified by expression of the surface marker CD34, also appear in the peripheral circulation after recovery from myelosuppressive chemotherapy. Peripheral blood stem cell transplant (PBSCT) has been shown to produce earlier engraftment and recovery of granulocytes and platelets compared with BMT, and it is accompanied with reduced early infection and shorter hospitalization. However, allogeneic PBSCT may be associated with a
higher incidence of chronic GVHD (cGVHD) and infection with cytomegalovirus (CMV) compared with BMT.13 Umbilical cord blood (UCB) is an accepted source of stem cells for pediatric transplantation and offers several advantages, such as ease of retrieval with minimal risk to the donor, less stringent HLA-matching criteria without increasing the risk of GVHD, and lower risk of transfer of viruses.14 However, UCB has been linked to slower engraftment and increased graft fail-

---

**Table 1.** Current indications for stem-cell transplantation (SCT) (modified from Westbrook et al.70)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Autologous</th>
<th>Allogeneic</th>
<th>Nonmyeloblastic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>regimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute myelogenous</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute lymphocytic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic myelogenous</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lymphocytic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphomas</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodgkin disease</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma cell dyscrasia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amyloid</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid tumors</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian cancer</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testicular cancer</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal cell cancer</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain tumors</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroblastoma</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewing sarcoma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired bone marrow disorders</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe aplastic anemia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelodysplastic syndromes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloproliferative disorders</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital disorders</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickle cell anemia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalassemia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fanconi anemia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White cell disorders</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe combined</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunodeficiency</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopetrosis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage diseases</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune diseases</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic scleroderma</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic lupus erythematosus</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Studies are still in initial stage of clinical trials.

---

Autologous and allogeneic HCT

Autologous hematopoietic stem cells in principle are available for every patient; however, transplantation in this setting is provided for marrow reconstitution, and treatment of the cancer is derived entirely from the high-dose conditioning regimen. Furthermore, there is a risk of contamination of the graft with residual malignant cells, which are reinfused into the patient.

Autologous HCT is widely used as curative treatment of chemosensitive malignancies such as non-Hodgkin lymphoma and Hodgkin disease. The current strategy for multiple myeloma is tandem HCT (a combined autologous HCT followed by nonmyeloablative allogeneic HCT). Significant improvements in supportive care, particularly effective management of infection, have improved the safety of autologous HCT. Therefore, strategies to further improve its outcome are concentrated on optimizing techniques to eliminate malignant stem cells from both the patient and the stem cell graft.

In leukemia, allogeneic HCT is the preferred treatment because cancer outcome is derived from high-dose chemotherapy and is accompanied by GVL, an immunologic response against chemo/radiotherapy-resistant malignant cells.17 Allogeneic stem cell donors may be related (usually a sibling) or unrelated and should have a matching HLA type. Matching is performed on the basis of variability at 3 or more loci of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) genes that encode for HLA polypeptides. In humans, the terms MHC and HLA are often used interchangeably. The MHC genes are closely linked on chromosome 6 and are inherited as haplotypes (i.e., inherited as a unit). The MHC forms the basis for the ability of the adaptive immune system to distinguish between self and nonself and is involved in initiating immune responses. Based on their structure and function, there are 2 classes of HLA antigens, termed class I and class II. HLA class I antigens include HLA-A, -B, and -C and are found on nearly all cells of the body, whereas class II HLA-DR, -DQ and -DP antigens are typically found on cells of the immune system. The availability of an identical twin (syngeneic) donor is less than 1%, and siblings
have a ~25% chance of being genotypically HLA identical (i.e., inheriting the same paternal and maternal MHC genes: a 2-haplotype match). It may also be possible to identify individuals within families (e.g., a parent, uncle, aunt, cousin) who share only 1 haplotype which is identical by descent and are phenotypically matched for the nonshared haplotype. The lack of HLA-identical related donors has stimulated the development of large data banks of volunteer unrelated donors. Great progress has been made in HLA-typing technology and, whenever possible, unrelated donors are completely phenotypically matched for critical HLA class I and II antigens. This level of matching does not necessarily mean that the donor and the recipient are matched for all epitopes on these molecules nor for the numerous other polymorphic genes within the MHC. In addition, even in closely related donors, mismatched minor histocompatibility antigens (mHags), encoded by genes outside the MHC in the recipient, that are recognized as antigens by donor T cells may induce immune responses resulting in graft rejection and GVHD.\textsuperscript{18} For this reason, immunosuppressive regimens are required in allogeneic HCT even when grafts are derived from donors that are genotypically HLA identical.

**Conditioning regimens**

The objective of myeloablative preparation before transplantation is both to eradicate malignant cells and, in allogeneic transplantation, to induce immunosuppression that permits engraftment. TBI combined with cyclophosphamide has been the standard preparative regimen since the 1980s, but the toxicity of TBI, particularly in children, has resulted in the development of radiation-free regimens. Myeloablative HCT is associated with considerable toxicity to mucosal barriers and induces profound myelosuppression which puts the patient at risk for serious infectious complications until engraftment. In the late 1990s, a better understanding of GVL biology led to preparative regimens that involve less intensive conditioning radio/chemotherapy and are thus less toxic than myeloablative regimens. Unlike traditional conditioning, these new regimens are primarily immunosuppressive to enable engraftment of the transplanted donor cells, and depend on the graft to eradicate cancer. These regimens have been divided into truly nonmyeloablative regimens (in which the bone marrow will recover even without HCT) and reduced-intensity conditioning regimens (RIC) in which chemotherapeutic drugs are used that are less cytotoxic than myeloablative regimens but are used in higher doses than in a nontransplant setting.\textsuperscript{19,20} For reasons of simplicity we will use the term RIC in this article (Table II). RIC transplants are associated with less morbidity and mortality compared with myeloablative regimens in the first 3 months after transplant, but more patients may develop complications thereafter. RIC transplants can be conducted in patients previously not eligible for myeloablative protocols because of their age or medical condition.\textsuperscript{21,22}

**DONOR LYMPHOCYTE INFUSION**

The concept of donor lymphocyte infusion (DLI) contributed to a paradigm shift in which myeloablative conditioning is no longer deemed to be necessary for the eradication of tumor cells. In early studies, DLI alone was shown to induce transient remission in patients with relapsed chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), even without previous HCT.\textsuperscript{23} Today, DLI may be used to induce durable remission in patients with early-stage relapsed CML after allogeneic HCT. DLI is only moderately effective for relapse of acute myeloid leukemia, with 15%-40% of patients achieving complete remission, and is rarely successful in patients with relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Multiple myeloma patients have response rates to DLI of 40%-45%, suggesting a benefit in relapsed disease. Complications of DLI include acute and chronic GVHD, though GVHD after DLI may be easier to control than HCT-induced GVHD. In contrast to myeloablative transplant, RIC preserves the recipient’s hematopoietic system to various degrees, and transplanted stem cells coexist with residual host lymphocytes and marrow cells (mixed chimerism). This situation may cause graft rejection (Table III). DLI can successfully convert chimerism to full donor type. Although DLI remains the mainstay of adoptive immunotherapy after HCT,\textsuperscript{24} new approaches are being developed, allowing more targeted treatment of relapsed or persistent leukemias by using leukemia-specific cytotoxic T-cells.\textsuperscript{25,26} Epstein-Barr virus–specific cytotoxic T cells are being used to treat post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders, and likewise, CMV-specific T cells are being used to treat viruses that affect patients after HCT.
Table III. Reduced-intensity (RI) conditioning regimens and their risks of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) and treatment-related morbidity and mortality (TRM) (modified from National Cancer Institute69)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RI conditioning regimen</th>
<th>Risk of GVHD</th>
<th>Risk of TRM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Busulfan + FLU + ATG</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiotepa + cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI 200 cGy</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melphalan + FLU + CAMPATH</td>
<td>−0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SELECTED COMPLICATIONS AFFECTING THE ORAL CAVITY

Mucositis

Mucositis is induced by radiation therapy and/or chemotherapy and is characterized by mucosal damage ranging from mild inflammation to extensive ulceration, which may affect the oral cavity and other parts of the alimentary tract. In patients treated with myeloablative HCT, oral mucositis (OM) most frequently affects nonkeratinized mucosal surfaces, such as ventral and lateral tongue, floor of mouth, soft palate, buccal mucosa, and inner side of the lips. Typically, OM peaks between post-transplant days 6 and 12 and begins to resolve by day 14-18. OM is one of the most debilitating acute complications of myeloablative HCT from the patient’s perspective, because it accompanied with pain and dysphagia and affects food and oral medication intake.27 Ulcerative mucositis is associated with potentially life-threatening infection and leads to an increased demand on health care resources.28,29

Mucosal damage is also considered to be associated with the development of acute GHVD. The incidence of OM has been estimated to range from 75% to 100% after myeloablative conditioning regimens,30 and the preparative regimen is an independent risk factor for the severity and the pattern of OM.31 Robien et al. found TBI-containing conditioning regimens, being overweight, and a genetic polymorphism associated with increased methotrexate toxicity to be risk factors for OM in patients receiving allogeneic HCT for myeloid leukemia.32 Methotrexate as prophylaxis for GVHD has also been associated with a significantly higher severity and duration of OM compared with other immunosuppressive drugs.33

Little information is available on the prevalence and severity of OM after RIC regimens, although the incidence and severity of OM is expected to be lower. Vela-Ojeda et al. reported in a study comparing myeloablative versus RIC regimens for allogeneic HCT in patients with leukemia that severe mucositis developed in 32% and 7% of those patients, respectively.34 Prospective clinical studies with OM as a primary end point are needed to clarify the prevalence and severity of OM associated with different RIC regimens. In such studies, effort must be taken to distinguish between OM and oral herpes simplex virus (HSV) infection, because HSV reactivation may frequently occur after potent immunosuppressive RIC regimens unless antiviral prophylaxis is provided.

Infection

Infections, including those from oral sources, are a frequent complication of HCT. Risk factors include the underlying malignant disease, the medical condition of the patient, the presence of chronic or latent infections, the type of transplant, the source of stem cells, the use of antimicrobials, mucosal barrier loss, and the development of GVHD. There are 2 main mechanisms that play a role in the risk for infection. One depends on nonspecific defenses such as the integrity of surface barriers, which may be damaged by intensive conditioning regimens. In addition, the use of indwelling venous catheters contributes to the infection risk. The other major defense against infections is the immune system, which has a nonspecific and a specific component. Nonspecific protection results from activity of granulocytes, monocytes, macrophages, natural killer (NK) cells, T cells, and complement. The specific immune system cannot operate independently from the nonspecific immune system, because cells from the nonspecific immune system are required to present antigen in the context of HLA before a specific immune response can be mounted.35 Virtually all components of the immune system are deficient after HCT.

Uncomplicated recovery starts with healing of the mucosal tissues and recovery of granulocytes and NK cells about 2 weeks after myeloablative conditioning. T-Cell and B-cell immune responses against viral, bacterial, and fungal organisms may be suppressed for a prolonged period of time, particularly if GVHD develops after allogeneic HCT. There is evidence that the oral microflora is a major source of systemic infection particularly in the setting of OM.36-39 Disease, drugs, and hospitalization affect the composition of the microbial flora and defense mechanisms of oral mucosal tissues, including decreased salivary production deficient in immune proteins (see subsequent section). In such an environment, it is extremely important to treat preexistent clinical oral infection, reduce the oral microbial load by interventions in the pretreatment phase, and avoid accumulation of dental plaque by maintaining good oral hygiene after HCT. OM is acknowledged to be the principal risk factor for bacteremia due to viridans streptococci40 and coagulase-negative staphylococci.41 In addition, oral mucosal infections may be associated with a wide variety of other microorganisms,
including anaerobic bacteria, fungi, and viruses, virtually all of which may give rise to systemic infectious complications. Current management for Candida infections has reduced systemic candidiasis, although oropharyngeal and esophageal infection remains a common complication of treatment with potentially serious consequences. Aspergillosis and other fungal pathogens have become of increasing concern. Late infection with CMV is also seen more frequently. The time of occurrence and appearance of the lesions, including size, distribution, and color, may contribute to the differential diagnosis. However, it is typically difficult to diagnose infections based on clinical presentation alone, and coexistent oral conditions such as mucositis and GVHD increase the difficulty of diagnosis.

In addition to infections related to the oral mucosa, chronic infections associated with the dentition may give rise to complications due to myelosuppression. These infections typically involve the dental pulp/periapical area, impacted teeth, and the periodontium. Periodontal infections, in particular, may represent a source of systemic infection in neutropenic patients. Nevertheless, the contribution of chronic periodontitis to systemic infections is probably underestimated, because these infections can be easily overlooked, particularly during neutropenia when local signs and symptoms of infection are reduced.

### Oral bleeding

Oral bleeding may occur during profound thrombocytopenia either due to active disease in patients with acute leukemia at diagnosis or secondary to chemotherapy-induced myelosuppression. When the platelet count is >40,000/µL, clinically significant bleeding is rare, whereas at counts <10,000/µL, the risk of spontaneous oral hemorrhage increases significantly. Although bleeding does not appear to be directly associated with OM, it is plausible that ulcerative lesions increase the tendency to bleed when submucosal blood vessels are exposed to trauma. In addition, HSV infection can significantly increase the bleeding risk. Similarly, gingival inflammation contributes to the risk of gingival bleeding. Although profound thrombocytopenia is the most common reason for oral hemorrhage, other mechanisms including disseminated intravascular coagulation may contribute to oral bleeding.

### Graft-versus-host disease

Despite advances in HCT and immunosuppressive therapy, GVHD remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality in allogeneic HCT recipients. GVHD is caused by reaction of donor-derived immunocompetent cells against the recipient’s tissues. Severity relates to differences in histocompatibility (e.g., HLA and mHag), the number of donor T cells infused, and use of immunosuppressive medications. Traditionally, acute GVHD (aGVHD) and cGVHD were distinguished by the time of onset; <100 days after stem cell infusion was considered to be acute and >100 days chronic. However, a clear distinction between aGVHD and cGVHD based on its time of occurrence is no longer valid in the era of RIC transplantation. Observations in patients transplanted with RIC regimens or in patients receiving DLI at various time intervals after transplantation indicate that manifestations of aGVHD may appear several months after HCT. Furthermore, clinical characteristics of cGVHD can occur as early as 50 days after transplantation. Therefore the current consensus is to define GVHD, whether acute or chronic, based on its clinical presentation rather than the timing of development. Acute GVHD is characterized by apoptosis and necrosis affecting the skin, gastrointestinal tract, and liver. Clinically, this may present as skin rashes, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, and jaundice. In the oral cavity, lesions are often painful, erythematous, ulcerative, and desquamative.

The pathophysiology of aGVHD is considered to be a 3-step process in which the innate and the adaptive immune systems interact: 1) GVHD is initiated when donor T cells are activated by host antigens expressed in tissue damaged by conditioning regimens, or activation may be mediated by lipopolysaccharide present on the cell surface of gram-negative bacteria that have translocated through damaged mucosa; 2) inflammatory cytokines produced by donor T cells induce proliferation and differentiation of various effector cells, including antihost helper and cytotoxic T cells, macrophages, and NK cells; and 3) in the effector phase, these cells cause damage to target tissues. Alloreactive T cells are thought to drive the manifestations of cGVHD; however, the exact role of specific T-cell subsets and the interaction with B cells remain under investigation.

About one-half of allogeneic HCT recipients develop cGVHD, which may affect a broad range of tissues, including the skin, gastrointestinal tract, eyes, lungs, female genital tract, and liver. Chronic GVHD represents an inflammatory and fibrotic process which has features reminiscent of various autoimmune/immunologic disorders. Chronic GVHD may be preceded by aGVHD. Oral involvement was found in about 70% of PBSCT recipients and in 53% of BMT recipients with cGVHD, whereas Flowers et al. reported the mouth to be the most frequently affected site in BMT recipients and the second most affected site after PBSCT. It typically presents as lichenoid changes with varying degrees of erythema, white striations and plaques, painful ulceration, hypopalliation, mucoceles, gingival atrophy, hypersensitivity of teeth and oral mu-
cusa, and sclerosis resulting in limited mobility of oral tissues, all of which contribute to an effect on oral function and oral care. The combination of difficulty with oral hygiene and dry mouth may create a potent cycle increasing infection risk, including candidiasis and caries. In addition, cGVHD and its treatment induce immunosuppression which increases infection risk.

It was hoped that RIC regimens would lead to less severe GVHD, because these regimens induce less mucosal toxicity and may be associated with a reduced production of proinflammatory cytokines (cytokine storm). However, there are no data indicating that RIC transplant reduces the risk for GVHD. An important confounding factor may be the increased age of patients treated with these regimens, because increased age is associated with more frequent and more severe GVHD. In a pilot study, Elad et al. found the incidence of oral aGVHD after RIC transplant to be significantly lower than after myeloablative conditioning, at least in the first 100 days after transplant.

Salivary changes and dry mouth

Diminished salivary flow and xerostomia (the subjective feeling of a dry mouth) is common after HCT. Patients report oral dryness as the second most distressing symptom at discharge for transplant and at 1 year after HCT. Saliva contains many components of the nonspecific and specific immune response, including proteins with antimicrobial, immunomodulatory, and antiinflammatory activity, which are crucial for local host defences. In addition, saliva is a reservoir for ions that facilitate remineralization of the teeth. In a recent study, salivary samples from patients undergoing allogeneic HCT with myeloablative conditioning as well as RIC, secretory IgA was found to be decreased 1 month after transplant and returned to pretransplant levels after 6 months in both conditioning regimens. The IgA levels remained low in patients with aGVHD.

Taste alterations

Patients receiving myeloablative as well as RIC preparative regimens often experience distressing alterations in taste (dysgeusia) or a reduction in taste sensation (hypogeusia, ageusia). In addition, cyclosporine and tacrolimus may induce taste changes that may be a metallic, salty, sweet, sour, or bitter taste or no taste at all. Taste dysfunction can last from days to months but usually recovers. Oral GVHD has also been associated with taste dysfunction. The exact etiology for taste alterations in cancer patients is unknown but may be associated with several factors, including the tumor itself, direct toxicity of cytotoxic agents to replicating taste buds, neurotoxicity, hyposalivation, infection, immune-related GVHD damage directed against taste receptors, and psychologic changes, including conditioned food aversions. Taste dysfunction can result in emesis, reduced food intake, and weight loss and can significantly affect quality of life.

Second malignancies

With increased numbers of patients surviving long term, late effects of HCT have become of increasing clinical importance. Among these late effects, second malignancies have been recognized. Previous exposure to chemo/radiotherapy, alterations in immune function, GVHD, and GVHD therapy collectively contribute to risk for second malignancy. Second malignancies after HCT include lymphoproliferative disorders, hematologic malignancies, and solid tumors. Hematologic malignancies and lymphoproliferative disorders are most frequently observed early in the post-transplant period. Solid tumors may develop many years after HCT. In the vast majority of cases, oral tumors are squamous cell carcinomas (SCC). Several authors have described cases of SCC at oral and skin sites previously affected by cGVHD-related inflammatory processes, suggesting that cGVHD is a risk factor. In addition, prolonged immunosuppressive therapy may contribute to the risk of developing SSC. Long-term follow-up of HCT patients is recommended to detect cancers at an early stage, and patients should be informed of cancer risk and educated to avoid life styles, such as cigarette smoking, that can potentiate the risk of developing oral SCC.

Osteoporosis and bone necrosis

Conditioning regimens, particularly those including irradiation, may induce endocrine function abnormalities that may affect oral health. Long-term corticosteroid therapy may contribute to the loss of bone density, which may affect the alveolar bone and temporomandibular joints and may be associated with an increased risk of avascular necrosis of bone, particularly weight-bearing bone. HCT recipients may have received bisphosphonates for various indications, which may lead to musculoskeletal pain and increased risk of osteonecrosis of the jaw.

ORAL SUPPORTIVE CARE

Providing oral supportive care to HCT patients is part of “good clinical practice.” The main goals of the oral/dental management are to prevent infections during periods of neutropenia and to reduce oral side effects associated with HCT. Key elements of reaching these goals include reducing the oral microbial load by treating preexistent oral/dental infections, maintaining good oral hygiene, and reducing trauma.
Oral supportive care for HCT recipients can be divided into different phases: preconditioning, early post-transplant, late post-transplant, and long-term follow-up phases (Table IV). Oral care during these phases should be monitored and provided by informed and experienced dental practitioners that are in close communication with the oncology team. All members of the health care team should understand the nature of the medical condition and planned treatment and needs for oral/dental care. Close consultation is needed to perform appropriate oral and dental care in a timely fashion in relation to cancer therapy, blood counts, and systemic medications at all phases, i.e., before, during, and after transplant. It is important to realize that allo- geneic HCT recipients may be immunocompromised for prolonged periods and that invasive dental procedures may put these patients at risk and outcomes of the procedures may be compromised. There are excellent publications for health care professionals and patients that provide detailed information about the rationale and practical issues of the prevention and treatment of oral complications during the different phases of HCT.

During treatment, the patient often experiences morbidity due to mucositis, oral infection, oral bleeding, dry mouth, and infection may increase risk of mortality. The patients’ oral hygiene regimen should be encouraged and reinforced throughout the cancer therapy. Advances in the understanding of the pathobiology of mucositis will lead to the extension of the therapeutic arsenal, and presently promising agents are under experimental and clinical study. Clinical guidelines on the management of mucositis were recently updated. Cryotherapy and human keratinocyte growth factor may be considered for the prevention of OM in the setting of myeloablative HCT (Tables II–IV). Patients should minimize wearing dentures, to reduce tissue trauma and because oral prostheses are colonized with microbial pathogens. Clinical diagnosis of OM, candidiasis, or other fungal infections and viral reactivation is critical. Researchers have investi-
gated the oral colonization of *Candida* spp. in the HCT patient and the impact of systemic antifungals. Systemic antifungal prophylaxes were administered to all patients in addition to routine chlorhexidine oral rinses, and *Candida* colonization was seen in 31% of patients. Topical polyenes reduced oral colonization in patients on systemic fluconazole prophylaxis during transplant.\(^7^6\) In another HCT trial, chlorhexidine alone resulted in significantly decreased *Candida* colonization compared with patients using chlorhexidine and nystatin, a result thought to be due to interaction between these 2 agents.\(^7^7\) Oropharyngeal colonization by *Candida albicans* occurred despite the use of systemic and topical antifungals.\(^7^8\) Increasing numbers of infections with nonalbicans *Candida* spp., including aspergillus, mucor, and fusarium infections are developing in immunocompromised HCT patients. Therefore microbiologic documentation is mandatory, and systemic therapy must be instituted promptly owing to high risk for morbidity and mortality.\(^7^9\) The prevalence of oral HSV lesions has been considerably reduced with the use of prophylactic acyclovir and, more recently, valacyclovir regimens. Topical therapy alone is generally not efficacious in the immunocompromised patient. The risk of HSV reactivation remains high until immune reconstitution occurs. Furthermore, viral cultures are essential to accurate diagnosis but may not distinguish between clinical infection and viral shedding. Assays that produce more rapid results, including direct immunofluorescence, shell vial testing, and specific immunohistoassay for HSV antigen and/or biopsy, may be useful.

Varicella zoster virus (VZV) spreads via dermatome distribution, although in immunocompromised patients multiple dermatomes or a more widespread distribution of lesions can be seen. Orofacial VZV lesions are typically observed several weeks or months after cessation of chemotherapy. This is in contrast to HSV, which often occurs during chemotherapy and within 2-3 weeks after chemotherapy is discontinued. For reasons that are not entirely clear, the period of increased risk for reactivation of VZV essentially extends from \(\sim 3-12\) months after transplant, with allogeneic transplant recipients being at highest risk. Acyclovir, valacyclovir, and famciclovir are currently the primary drugs used for treatment. Infections caused by nonherpes viruses are also relatively common in HCT recipients, with the risk of infection apparently increasing with the degree and duration of immunosuppression. Oral lesions caused by adenovirus and human papilloma virus (HPV) may develop. Patients with cutaneous HPV lesions may demonstrate oral lesions. These lesions can present as hyperkeratotic verrucoid lesions or as flat acuminata-like lesions. Restoration of immune function may result in a disappearance of the oral mucosal lesions, but laser surgery or cryotherapy are typically used to remove oral HPV lesions when medically or cosmetically necessary. Intralesional injections of interferon-alpha may prove to be effective for recurrent lesions.\(^6^9\)

Oropharyngeal pain due to mucositis is of considerable concern for patients and is the primary reason HCT patients receive opioid analgesics during treatment. Pain management, including use of topical therapy as well as systemic analgesics, and adjuvant medications and approaches are needed for effective pain management.\(^8^0\)

Many of the recommendations followed during the early post-HCT phase should be followed also in subsequent phases (Table I). Management of GVHD typically consists of cyclosporine or tacrolimus with corticosteroids. Because these regimens have a high failure rate, alternative options for prevention and treatment (e.g., graft manipulation, antithymocyte globulin, monoclonal antibodies, growth factors, extracorporal photopheresis, mycophenolate mofetil, low-dose methotrexate, sirolimus) are sought. In oral cGVHD, systemic therapies may be combined with topical agents.\(^5^0,^5^4\) Local measures, including the application of immunosuppressive agents (e.g., steroids, tacrolimus, cyclosporine, azathioprine) may be sufficient for control of oral involvement. It is not uncommon for oral symptoms to persist or to be the only site of involvement, but well designed controlled studies are clearly needed to assess treatment outcomes. Potential drug interactions may occur in patients on tacrolimus or sirolimus, and familiarity with transplant protocols and close communication between the oncologist and the dental professional is essential. It is advisable to see patients with oral cGVHD regularly to detect oral complications early and to implement and maintain an oral care plan, including the maintenance of good oral hygiene, according to their individual needs.

Reduced saliva production increases the risk of dental demineralization, caries, oral mucosal injury, and mucosal infection (candidiasis) and affects taste. Recommended treatment for hyposalivation includes systemic sialogogues (pilocarpine hydrochloride, bethanechol, Evoxac).\(^8^1\) Nonpharmacologic treatments include sugarless gum or candies, popsicles, frequent water sipping, bland nonalcohol-based mouthwashes, and water- or aloe-based lip balm. To prevent dental caries and demineralization, patients should receive nutritional counseling, use daily fluoride gel in custom trays or brush-on high-potency fluoride applications during and after cancer therapy, remineralizing products, and, for patients with high-risk cariogenic flora, chlorhexidine-containing products.
CONCLUSION

The field of HCT is developing rapidly. New treatment strategies warrant continuous adaptation of oral care regimens to the changing scope of oral complications. Studies on the pathogenesis of acute and chronic oral complications are key elements of prevention and treatment. A better insight should be obtained in the epidemiology of these complications and in its risk factors. Clinical research specifically directed at adult and pediatric HCT recipients and including significant numbers of patients should be performed to develop evidence-based recommendations and form a solid base for the recognition of the medical necessity of oral and dental supportive care. Providing oral supportive care in these patients requires an understanding of HCT procedures and complications and close cooperation between multiple disciplines.

Advances in HCT technology, including RIC protocols, have led to a shift in the pattern of complications and made HCT available to older patients. Whereas early transplant complications, such as the severity and duration of OM, as well as the risk of infection, may be decreased after RIC regimens compared with after myeloablative conditioning, this does not mean that oral/dental care before and during transplant is superfluous in these patients. On the contrary, eliminating oral infection before HCT remains important. Infection may exacerbate GVHD, and invasive dental procedures may be contraindicated for an extended period of time after transplant. If GVHD develops after transplant and/or DLI, there is a clear need for input from dental professionals in the diagnosis and management of oral GVHD and its sequelae.

The number of long-term HCT survivors is increasing. This is associated with a need for monitoring late oral complications, such as second malignancies, osteonecrosis of the jaw, and complications that are specific to HCT performed during childhood, such as disturbances of craniofacial growth and tooth development.82-84

There is a trend to perform RIC transplants in outpatient settings, which underscores the need for the general dental practitioner to be cognizant of pretransplant dental management needs, preventative strategies, complications that may develop, and indications and contraindications for dental treatment. Education in basic aspects of oncology-specific oral care should be part of the curriculum of all health care providers who may encounter cancer patients in their clinical practice. Dentists must continue to inform themselves of their role in the care of these patients.
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